Basic Elements of Programming Languages

Ronghui Gu Spring 2024

Columbia University

[∗] Course website: <https://verigu.github.io/4115Spring2024/>

A programming language is a notation that a person and a computer can both understand.

A programming language is a notation that a person and a computer can both understand.

• It allows you to express what is the task to compute

A programming language is a notation that a person and a computer can both understand.

- It allows you to express what is the task to compute
- It allows a computer to execute the computation task

[Language Specifications](#page-4-0)

When designing a language, it's a good idea to start by sketching forms that you want to appear in your language as well as forms you do not want to appear.

When designing a language, it's a good idea to start by sketching forms that you want to appear in your language as well as forms you do not want to appear.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\text{int avg(int a, int b)} \\
\left\{\text{return } (a + b) / 2; \right\} \\
\end{array}
$$

Examples

When designing a language, it's a good idea to start by sketching forms that you want to appear in your language as well as forms you do not want to appear.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\text{int avg(int a, int b)} \\
\left\{\text{return (a + b) / 2;}\right\} \\
\end{array}
$$

Examples

a int *vg*(int *a*, { return (*a* ; + *b*) { {

Non-Examples

- An official documents, with informal descriptions.
- An official documents, with formal descriptions.
- A reference implementation, e.g., a compiler.

Some language definitions are sanctioned by an official standards organization, e.g., C11 (ISO/IEC 9899:2011).

- An official documents, with informal descriptions.
- An official documents, with formal descriptions.
- A reference implementation, e.g., a compiler.

Some language definitions are sanctioned by an official standards organization, e.g., C11 (ISO/IEC 9899:2011).

```
int compare()
{
  int a[10], b[10];
  if (a > b)return true ;
  return false:
}
```
- An official documents, with informal descriptions.
- An official documents, with formal descriptions.
- A reference implementation, e.g., a compiler.

Some language definitions are sanctioned by an official standards organization, e.g., C11 (ISO/IEC 9899:2011).

```
int compare()
{
  int a[10], b[10];
  if (a > b)return true ;
  return false;
}
```
undefined behavior, e.g., division by zero

- An official documents, with informal descriptions.
- An official documents, with formal descriptions.
- A reference implementation, e.g., a compiler.

Some language definitions are sanctioned by an official standards organization, e.g., C11 (ISO/IEC 9899:2011).

```
int compare()
{
  int a[10], b[10];
  if (a > b)return true ;
  return false:
}
```
undefined behavior, e.g., division by zero implementation-defined behavior, e.g., identifier characters

- An official documents, with informal descriptions.
- An official documents, with formal descriptions.
- A reference implementation, e.g., a compiler.

Some language definitions are sanctioned by an official standards organization, e.g., C11 (ISO/IEC 9899:2011).

```
int compare()
{
  int a[10], b[10];
  if (a > b)return true ;
  return false:
}
```
undefined behavior, e.g., division by zero implementation-defined behavior, e.g., identifier characters unspecified behavior, e.g., evaluation order of subexp

• Syntax: the form of programming languages.

- Syntax: the form of programming languages.
- Semantics: the meaning of programming languages.

- Syntax: the form of programming languages.
- Semantics: the meaning of programming languages.
- Pragmatics: the implementation of programming languages.

Syntax is divided into:

- Microsyntax
- Abstract syntax

Syntax is divided into:

- Microsyntax: specifies how the characters in the source code stream are grouped into tokens.
- Abstract syntax

Syntax is divided into:

- Microsyntax: specifies how the characters in the source code stream are grouped into tokens.
- Abstract syntax: specifies how the tokens are grouped into phrases, e.g., expressions, statements, etc.

Source program is just a sequence of characters.

```
int avg(int a, int b){
 return (a + b) / 2;
}
```

```
in t SP a v g (in t SP a , SP in t SP b ) NL
{ NL
SP SP r e t u r n SP (a SP + SP b) SP / SP 2; NL
} NL
```

```
int avg(int a, int b){
 return ( a + b ) / 2 ;
}
```


int *avg*(int *a*, int *b*) { return $(a + b)$ / 2; } $\overline{\text{int}}$ $\left[\text{avg}\right]$ ($\left[\overline{\text{int}}\right]$ a $\left[\overline{\cdot}\right]$ int $\left[\overline{\text{b}}\right]$) $\left[\left[\right]$ { $\left[\overline{\text{return}}\right]$ ($\left[\overline{\text{a}}\right]$ + $\overline{\text{b}}$ $\left| \left[\overline{2} \right] \left[; \right] \right|$

• Throw errors when failing to create tokens: malformed numbers (e.g., 23fg) or invalid characters (such as non-ASCII characters in C).

Abstract Syntax can be defined using Context Free Grammar. Nonterminals can always be replaced using the rules, regardless of their contexts.

expr : *expr OPERATOR expr* | (*expr*) | *NUMBER* | *ID*

Expression $(a + b)/2$ can be parsed into an AST:

Abstract Syntax can be defined using Context Free Grammar. Nonterminals can always be replaced using the rules, regardless of their contexts.

expr : *expr OPERATOR expr* | (*expr*) | *NUMBER* | *ID*

Ambiguous! What about $a + b/2$?

Syntax Analysis Gives an Abstract Syntax Tree

• Syntax analysis will throw errors if "}" is missing. Lexical analysis will not.

• **Static Semantics**

• **Dynamic Semantics**

- **Static Semantics**: deals with legality rules—things you can check before running the code (compile time), e.g., type, scope, for some languages.
- **Dynamic Semantics**
- **Static Semantics**: deals with legality rules—things you can check before running the code (compile time), e.g., type, scope, for some languages.
- **Dynamic Semantics**: deals with the execution behavior; things that can only be known at runtime, e.g., value.

We can use inference rules to define semantics, e.g., type:

NUMBER : **int** expr : **int** (expr) : **int**

> expr_1 : **int** expr_2 : **int** expr_1 OPERATOR expr_2 : int

Semantic Analysis: Resolve Symbols; Verify Types

We can use inference rules to define semantics, e.g., value:

eval(NUMBER) = NUMBER **eval**(expr) = n $\overline{\text{eval}((\text{expr})) = n}$

$$
\frac{\mathsf{eval}(\mathsf{expr}_1) = n_1 \quad \mathsf{eval}(\mathsf{expr}_2) = n_2}{\mathsf{eval}(\mathsf{expr}_1 + \mathsf{expr}_2) = n_1 + n_2}
$$

Consider the integer range?

eval(NUMBER) = NUMBER **eval**(expr) = n **eval**((expr)) = n

> $\text{eval}(\text{expr}_1) = n_1$ **eval** $(\text{expr}_2) = n_2$ **eval**($expr_1 + expr_2$) = $n_1 + n_2$

Consider the integer range:

 $wrap(NUMBER) = n$ $\overline{\text{eval}(\text{NUMBER})=n}$

eval(expr) = n **eval**((expr)) = n

eval(expr₁) = n_1 **eval**(expr₂) = n_2 **wrap** $(n_1 + n_2) = n$ **eval**($\exp r_1 + \exp r_2$) = n

[Programming Paradigms](#page-34-0)

A programming paradigm is a style, or "way," of programming. Some languages make it easy to write in some paradigms but not others.

An imperative program specifies how a computation is to be done: a sequence of statements that update state.

```
result = [ ]
    i = 0numStu = len ( students )
start :
    if i >= numStu goto finished
    name = students [ i ]
    nameLength = len (name)
    if nameLength <= 5 goto nextOne
    addToList ( result , name)
nextOne :
    i = i + 1goto start
finished :
    return result
```
A kind of imperative programming with clean, goto-free, nested control structures. [Go To Statement Considered](https://homepages.cwi.nl/~storm/teaching/reader/Dijkstra68.pdf) [Harmful](https://homepages.cwi.nl/~storm/teaching/reader/Dijkstra68.pdf) by Dijkstra.

```
result = []for i in range ( len ( students ) ) :
    name = students [ i ]
    i f len (name) > 5 :
         addToList ( result , name)
print (result)
```
cppreference.com:

[Goto statement is] used when it is otherwise impossible to transfer control to the desired location using other statements.

C tutorials:

Use of goto statement is highly discouraged in any programming language because it makes difficult to trace the control flow of a program, making the program hard to understand and hard to modify. Any program that uses a goto can be rewritten to avoid them.

Imperative programming with procedure calls.

```
def filterList (students):
    r e s u l t = [ ]
    for name in students :
         if len(name) > 5:
             addToList ( result , name)
    return result
```
 $print(filterList(students))$

An object-oriented program does its computation with interacting objects.

```
class Student :
  def _ _ i n i t _ _ ( s e l f , name ) :
     s e l f . name = name
     s e l f . department = " CS "
def filterList (students):
     r e s u l t = [ ]
     for student in students :
          i f student . name . __len__ ( ) > 5 :
               r e s u l t . append ( student . name)
     return result
print(filterList(students))
```
A declarative program specifies what computation is to be done. It expresses the logic of a computation without describing its control flow.

select *name* from *students* where *length* (*name*) > 5

A functional program treats computation as the evaluation of mathematical functions and avoids side effects.

```
def isNameLong (name ) :
    return len (name) > 5
print (
  list (
    filter (isNameLong, students )))
```
Using lambda calculus:

print (list (filter (lambda *name*: len (*name*) > 5, *students*)))

Using function composition:

compose (print, list, filter * (lambda *name*: len (*name*) > 5)) (*students*)

[∗]A variant of the built-in filter.